

From: [Bouve, Kate](#)
To: [Russell Wasem](#)
Cc: [Parsons, Laura](#); [Anderson, Neil](#)
Subject: RE: rodenticide update
Date: Thursday, May 02, 2013 1:03:35 PM

Rusty and Laura -

So sorry, but I'm only just seeing your e-mail. I already talked with Ann. I was going to call you to tell you that she says she has more data and I wanted to get the information to you. She also sent me a copy of the draft White Paper.

She's working on a rule-making that would make the SGARs Restricted Materials. As I understand the process, the Cal dept. of Fish and Wildlife petitioned DPR to re-classify the SGARs as RM and I guess they said yes! But they have to go through rule-making.

I assume you've been the recipient of the poisoning data in the past. Is that right? Does EFED review the data?

I'm just getting back into the rodenticide issue after several months. So I'm not sure what all the procedures should be regarding things like receipt and review of data. Scott gave me a list of things to work on and I jumped in!!

Kate

-----Original Message-----

From: Russell Wasem
Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2013 11:25 AM
To: Bouve, Kate
Cc: Parsons, Laura; Anderson, Neil
Subject: FW: rodenticide update

Good Afternoon Kate,

Ann, of DPR, mentioned you plan/want to have a call with her to discuss DPR and CA Fish & Wildlife's work on wildlife poisonings. If it is ok with you, Laura and I would like to participate in the call. Knowing more about California's experience keeps us up on the rodenticide issue since we aren't doing much on it these days.

Please let us know if it is ok for us to participate on the call and when you plan to have it.

Thanks,
Rusty

-----Original Message-----

From: Ann Hanger [<mailto:ahanger@cdpr.ca.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2013 6:59 PM
To: Russell Wasem
Subject: rodenticide update

Hi Rusty,

I just got an email from Kate Bouve and she wants to talk to me about the rodenticide wildlife incident data DPR has on file. I will be putting this information together for her so wanted to keep you in the loop.

Also, I'm not sure if you ever received DPR's draft "white paper" analyzing the incident data we received

from the California Department of Fish & Wildlife (formally known as Department of Fish & Game) and other wildlife rehabilitation groups to support DFW's request for DPR to designate all second generation anticoagulants as California restricted materials? The draft was never officially released to the public, but we have sent it out to various stakeholders. The document went through an external peer review and DPR is currently going through the comments and making revisions to the draft. I am attaching the white paper so you have it in your files.

We have received additional data from DFW since the white paper was completed, so I will talk to Kate about this as well.

I am also attaching what our current proposed regulations look like. I believe our Asst. Director, Chuck Andrews, discussed this language with Rick Keigwin on his recent trip out to DC. We anticipate issuing a formal notice of proposed rulemaking sometime this summer.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need additional information.

Thanks,
Ann